

UNITED STATEDEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

	·		mK-
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	MATY FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	_k AT	TORNEY DOCKET NO 3
1 09/359,359 107/23/99	THIT SHEEWSH.		
<u> </u>	IM22/1003	RABAGI ^{EX}	AMINER
OBLON SPIVAK MCCLELLAN	MAIER & NEUSTADT I	L RABALIT.	H
FOURTH FLOOR	T CU 11/07/		
1755 JEFFERSON DAVIS H	T CHMM Y	ART-UNIT,	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGTON VA 22202			7
			10/03/00
		DATE MAILED:	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No.

09/359,359

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Office Action Summary

R. Rabago

Matyjaszewski et al. Group Art Unit 1713

Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
☐ This action is FINAL .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excel in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle,	pt for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
	set to expire
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's	awing Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are o	bjected to by the Examiner.
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is □approved □disapproved.
$\hfill\Box$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	er.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign price	
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copi	ies of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
☐ received in this national stage application from	
*Certified copies not received: Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	,
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Pap	er No(s).
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT	O-948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 68-112, drawn to polymerization initiators and methods of making, classified in class 502, subclass 150 and other subclasses.
- II. Claims 113-115, drawn to polymerization methods, classified in class 526, subclass90 and other subclasses.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the claimed initiator could be used to initiate a non-ATRP polymerization only (note particularly claim 68 for this purpose).

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: a multiplicity of polymerization initiators of fundamentally different structure.

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 68, 81, 91, 96 and 104 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a response to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/359,359

Art Unit: 1713

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rob Rabago whose telephone number is (703) 308-4347. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 to 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful <u>and</u> the matter is urgent, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu, can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are: (703) 305-5408 (official), (703) 305-3599 (official <u>after final</u>) and (703) 306-3429 (unofficial).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

RRabago October 2, 2000

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700